# **PET/CT in Children**

Stroobants Sigrid, MD, PhD Departement of Nuclear Medicine University Hospital ,Antwerp

## **WB PET-PET/CT in Children**

- How to perform?
  - Patient preparation
  - Acquisition Protocols
- FDG biodistribution in children
- Indications & Performance

## **Patient Preparation**

- Good information and communication
  Visit to camera prior to FDG injection
- Fasting 4h, free access to water
- Glucose level < 180 mg%
- IV line by pediatric department
- Reduce muscle uptake as much as possible
  - Reading, music, movie



## **Patient Preparation**

- Pre-medication
  - Propanolol
    - only oral (20 mg) and weight > 15 kg
  - Valium for muscle relaxation (rare!)
    - > 6y; 0.1 mg/kg with a max of 5 mg
  - Lasix° (always)
    - 1 mg/kg with a max of 20 mg
  - Sedation (chloralhydrate)
    - Shift to general anesthesia



## **FDG dose**

- Two methods for dose calculation
  - Fixed dose per kg/body weight (3 -7.5 MBq/kg)
  - EANM dose chart (takes into account the higher susceptibility for radiation induced cancers in younger persons

- ALARA
  - trade off between scan time and dose



WEIGHT-BASED PEDIATRIC PET/CT PROTOCOLS • Alessio et al.

**FIGURE 1.** Injected <sup>18</sup>F-FDG dose vs. weight for proposed linear approach and EANM pediatric guidelines.

- if possible with Diagnostic CT
  - One stop shop
  - Increased diagnostic confidence
  - Better PET image quality



## FDG biodistribution in children Less tissue contrast (no fat)



Children are more radiasensitive and have a longer live span, so change of radiation induced cancer are higher

<b>TABLE 1.</b> Excess Attributable Risk (Deaths) from AllSolid Tumors per 10,000 People per Year per Sievert atAge 60 Years							
Age at	Excess Attributable Risk	Relative					
exposure (y)	(mortality)	to >30 y					
1	35.1	2.92					
5	30.3	2.52					
10	25.2	2.1					
20	17.4	1.45					
>30	12	1					

Data are based on models presented in *Health Risks* from *Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation: BEIR VII* Phase 2 (15).

According to this table, if 1,000,000 10-y-old children receive 10 mSv, 25 children will die as a result of this exposure at the age of 60 y. This risk is 2.1 times higher than that for an individual receiving the same irradiation at an age older than 30 y.



## Is the dose important?

Soni C. Chawla & al, Pediatric Radiology

- Retrospective analysis of the Estimated cumulative radiation dose from PET/CT in children with malignancies: an analysis in 248 patients patients.
- Siemens Emotion Duo
  - no dose care
  - Low dose CT with additional breath hold CT in selected cases
- PET 7,5 MBq/kg
- CT 110-130 kVp and 43 mAs -170 mAs skull to mid thigh

AIR ZIEKENHUIS ANT

### Is the dose important?

Soni C. Chawla & al, Pediatric Radiology



Fig. 2 Study distribution

Fig. 8 Cumulative dose (mSv) per patient from PET/CT studies

#### Low dose protocol

- according to body weight
- Fixed Tube Voltage (110 kVp)

variable max Tube current 10 and 40 mAs, dose care

tric Whole-Body I	PET/CT Protocols Hig	hlighting Acquisition Sett	ings Varied for Each	Weight Category		
Patient						
	Estimated	CT acquisition,	PET acquisition			
Weight range	whole-body	maximum tube	Injected activity	Scan time per		
(kg)	scan range (mm)	current for CT (mAs)	(MBq [mCi])	FOV (min)		
6-7.4	59.5-66.5	10	37 (1.0)	3		
7.5–9.4	66.5-74	10	45 (1.2)	3		
9.5–11.4	74-84.5	15	55 (1.5)	3		
11.5–14.4	84.5-97.5	20	68 (1.9)	3		
14.5-18.4	97.5-110	20	87 (2.4)	3		
18.5-22.4	110–122	20	108 (2.9)	3		
22.5-31.4	122-137	25	142 (3.9)	5		
31.5-40.5	137–150	30	190 (5.1)	5		
40.5-55	150–162	30	252 (6.8)	5		
55–70	162–170	35	330 (8.9)	5		
>70	>170	40	370 (10.0)	5		

RPEN

The Journal of Nuclear Medicine • Vol. 50 • No. 10 • October 2009

# CT acquisition Protocol Diagnostic CT protocol

TABLE 4. Acquisition Scheme for Pediatric CT							
	Tub	Tube current (mA)					
Weight	Chest	Abdomen or pelvis					
4.5-8.9 (10-19)	40	60					
9.0–17.9 (20–39)	50	70					
18.0-26.9 (40-59)	60	80					
27.0-35.9 (60-79)	70	100					
36.0-45.0 (80-99)	80	120					
45.1–70.0 (100–150)	100–120	140–150					
>70 (>150)	≥140	≥170					

Weight data are represented in kilograms, with pounds in parentheses. This table summarizes example of weight-based acquisition scheme for pediatric CT. Tube voltage for these acquisitions is 120 kVp (22).

#### **Dosimetry of Pediatric PET/CT\***

Frederic H. Fahey

THE JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE • Vol. 50 • No. 9 • September 2009



#### • IV contrast

- 3-4h fasting (empty stomach in case of vomiting)
- Iomeron 350 mg/ml, heat before use to room temperature
- Dosis 2 ml/kg max 120 ml
- Manual injection in children < 14y (no pump!)</p>
- No oral contrast, + water (negative contrast)
- Hydration after scan



## FDG biodistribution in children



### FDG biodistribution in children









## FDG biodistribution in children Fysiological uptake in the ovary





157 -688.00mm

### Pediatric PET/CT - Performance

Table 2 Sensitivities, specificities, PPV, NPV and diagnostic accuracies for the detection of pediatric malignancies using integrated <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT, <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET and CT

Parameter		Procedure	TP	FP	TN	FN	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	Accuracy (%)
Solid primary tumors		PET/CT	70	11	3	4	95	21	86	43	83
		PET	54	1	13	20	73	93	98	39	76
		CT	69	14	0	5	93	0	83	0	78
Lymph node metastases		PET/CT	15	7	34	3	83	83	68	92	83
		PET	15	20	21	3	83	51	43	88	61
		CT	- 9	25	16	- 9	50	39	27	64	42
Distant metastases All >0.5 cm diameter <0.5 cm diameter Pulmonary Bone	All	PET/CT	139	25	122	14	91	83	85	90	87
		PET	57	64	83	96	37 <sup>a</sup>	57	47	46	47
		CT	127	63	84	26	83	57	67	76	70
	>0.5 cm diameter	PET/CT	95	12	100	- 9	91	89	89	92	90
		PET	57	62	50	47	55	45 <sup>a</sup>	48	52	50
		CT	83	50	62	21	80	55 <sup>b</sup>	62	75	67
	PET/CT	44	13	22	5	90	63	77	82	79	
		PET	0	2	33	49	0	94	0	40	39
		CT	44	13	22	5	90	63	77	82	79
	Pulmonary	PET/CT	44	17	29	5	90	63	72	85	77
		PET	7	10	36	42	$14^{a}$	78	41	46	45
		CT	40	16	30	- 9	82	65	71	77	74
	Bone	PET/CT	71	2	0	7	91	0	97	0	89
	PET	30	1	1	48	39	50	97	2	38	
		CT	63	2	0	15	81	0	97	0	79
All malignant lesions		PET/CT	223	42	160	22	91	79	84	88	86
		PET	126	85	117	119	51 <sup>a</sup>	58	60	50	54
		CT	205	102	100	40	84	50	67	71	68

<sup>a</sup> Difference between PET/CT and PET statistically significant (p<0.05)

<sup>b</sup> Difference between PET/CT and CT statistically significant (p<0.05)

#### PET for detection of residual disease

#### ♂ ° 1989 Hodgkin Disease, NS





11/2002 Initial staging IVa



2/2005 Recurrence? 3/2005

Recurrence? UNIVERSITAIR ZIEKENHUIS ANTWERPEN UZA



Fig. 3 Recurrent anaplastic Wilms' tumor. Left upper quadrant omental tumor. Standard CT scan (top). PET scan (middle). PET/CT scan (bottom).

UZ⁄1

## PET/CT in Pediatric Oncology

- PET interpretation more difficult compared to adults
  - Limited soft-tissue contrast on low dose CT: hampers localisation
  - More movement artefacts, physiologic uptake in normal tissue
  - Concomitant infectious disease
- One-stop shop where possible/indicated
  - 1 sedation
  - Better localisation
  - Cave: radiation dose
    - ALARA, dedicated pediatric protocols, PET-MR?, good indication
- Lymphoma; soft tissue sarcoma

