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## SUGGESTED SOLUTION TO CLASS EXAMPLE A

| Part a | R |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sales [C2] | 492500 |
| Cost |  |

Sales [C2] 492500

Part b (371 400)

## NOTES FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 20X

3. Profit before tax

|  | 20X1 <br> Included in profit before tax <br> - Write-off of inventory [C3] |
| :--- | :---: |

4. Inventory

Raw materials ( $1000 \times 2,5$ )
20X1
R
Finished goods [C4]

## CALCULATIONS

C1. Units manufactured in 20X1
Raw material opening inventory
Raw material purchases
Raw material closing inventory
Additions to production process

Units manufactured (109 000 kg/2 kg)

54500
C2. Sales
20 December - 31 December: $5000 \times 10$
1 January - 19 December: (54500 [C1] - $5000-20$ 000) x 15

C3. Cost of sales
Raw material opening inventory ( $10000 \times 2$ )
Raw material purchases (100 $000 \times 2,5$ )
Raw material closing inventory (1 $000 \times 2,5$ )
Labour costs (54 500 [C1] x 0,5 hour x 4,4)
Overheads
Recovered [ $(180000 / 60000=3) 54500 \times 3$ ]
Unrecovered overheads written off

Finished goods closing inventory [C4]
Inventory (10,2 x 20 000)
Write-down

OR
Cost of first 5000 units [(10,2 [C4] - ,50 x 2) x 5000 ]
Cost of next 29500 units
[10,2 [C4] x (54 500 [C1] - $20000-5000)$
Unrecovered overheads [(60 000-54500 [C1]) x 3]
Write down of inventory [(10,2 [C4] -9,8 [C4]) x 20 000]
$\qquad$
C4. Finished goods closing inventory
Cost
Raw material cost per unit ( $2 \times 2,5$ )
Labour cost per unit (4,4/2)
Overheads per unit (180 000/60 000)
Thus cost price (10,2 x 20000 )
Net realisable value
Selling price
Selling expenses (10 x 2\%)

Thus net realisable value $(9,8 \times 20000)$

## SUGGESTED SOLUTION TO QUESTION 1

1. Prove that inventory complies with the definition of an asset: (The Conceptual Framework par.4.4(a))
Resource - the saleable item/consumable;
Control - the entity can do with the inventory as it pleases;
Past event - purchase of inventory;
Future economic benefits - selling proceeds/revenue from services.
2. If the net realisable value is lower than the cost, the future economic benefits are less than the carrying amount of the asset. An expense arises in the sense that there is a decrease in economic benefits in the form of a depletion of an asset (reduction in value), which results in a decrease in equity. This reduction in equity is not the result of distributions to equity participants (The Conceptual Framework par. 25(b), The Conceptual Framework par. 34).

This reduction is also the result of the application of the faithful representation characteristic to ensure that assets are not overstated and expenses understated (The Conceptual Framework QC 12).
3. Prove that cost of sales comply with the definition of an expense: (The Conceptual Framework par. 25(b))

Decrease in economic benefits in the form of outflow of assets - inventory (an asset) flows from the entity, resulting in a decrease in economic benefits. This reduction in economic benefits leads to a reduction in equity (equity = assets less liabilities).

## SUGGESTED SOLUTION TO QUESTION 2

1. SONPYN

Treatment. The inventories of Sonpyn should be valued at a cost of R382 000 computed as follows.

Raw materials at cost
Other direct costs
Portion of factory overheads

This amount should be included in the statement of financial position under the heading "Inventories - finished goods" and an accounting policy note should explain the basis on which finished goods have been valued.

Reason: IAS 2 requires that inventories be valued at the lower of cost and net realisable value of the separate items or groups of similar items. Cost is defined as that expenditure incurred in bringing the product to its present location and condition. Thus, it includes the direct costs and an appropriate proportion of production overheads, but excludes selling and administrative overheads.

As Sonpyn is a steady selling product which shows reasonable profit margins, net realisable value is higher than cost. Hence, the inventories should be valued at a cost.
2. SEERSTIL

Treatment: These inventories should be valued at its net realisable value which, on the basis of an assumption discussed below, is R346 000. This is substantially below its cost of R647 000. The amount of R346 000 should be included as part of the "Inventories - finished goods" in the statement of financial position. The write down should be disclosed in terms of IAS 2.36(e).

Reason: As explained in (1) above, cost does not include a portion of selling expenses but, following the provisions of IAS 2, should include:

Raw materials at cost
Other direct costs
Portion of factory overheads

However, in this case net realisable value is relevant. If we assume that each 100 g pack will sell for the same price as the competitor's product, the net realisable value may be calculated as follows:

Sale proceeds
$1300000=13000$ at R35 100
Future costs:
Packaging
Additional advertising
Portion of future selling expenses
$\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{R} \\ & \mathbf{R} \\ 455000\end{array}$

20000
30000
59000 $\qquad$

## SUGGESTED SOLUTION TO QUESTION 3

## 1. Accounting policy

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost and net realisable value. Inventories are valued according to the FIFO cost formula.

## 2. Cost per ton

R
Suppliers price 150
Customs
Transport
Variable cost
Fixed costs $\qquad$ (R30 $000 \div 1500)^{\star}$ per ton

* IAS 2.13 determines that the fixed production overheads must be allocated based on normal capacity instead of actual capacity.


## Net realisable value per ton:

R

| Selling price | 240,00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Selling costs | $(3,00)$ |
| R3 $000 \div 1000 \mathrm{t}$ |  |
| Delivery costs | $(7,50)$ |
|  | 229,50 |

Show at the lowest of two values $=$ R225 $\times 2000$ ton
Raw materials
$=\quad$ R450 000

| Raw materials | Cost <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | NRV <br> $\mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Supplier's price | 150 | 160 |
| Customs | 10 |  |
| Transport | 20 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Although the net realisable value is lower, IAS 2.32 determines that the cost must still be used for the valuation of raw materials if the finished goods in which it is used is expected to be sold at historical cost or higher price. According to the information in the question, this is the case.

Raw material value $=\mathrm{R} 180 \times 5000$ ton $=\mathrm{R} 900000$.
4. Raw materials are not written down below cost if the finished products in which they will be incorporated are expected to be sold above cost.

However, if the selling price of the finished product declines to R230, the net realisable value of the finished product will be R219,50 (230-3-7,50), which is lower than it's cost of R225 per ton.

This may require the raw materials to be written down to net realisable value. Net realisable value is defined as the estimated selling price less the costs of completion and the costs necessary to make the sale.

To calculate the net realisable value of the raw materials, the selling price of the finished product needs to be reduced with the costs necessary to make the sale, as well as the production costs that are incurred to convert the raw materials into finished goods:

|  | R |
| :--- | ---: |
| Selling price | 230,00 |
| Selling costs | $(3,00)$ |
| Delivery costs | $(7,50)$ |
| Variable production costs | $(25,00)$ |
| Fixed production costs | $\underline{(20,00)}$ |
| Net realisable value | $\underline{174,50}$ |

As the total cost of the raw materials amounts to R180 (150+10+20) while the net realisable value amounts to R174,50, a write-down of R5,50 per ton is required.

## SUGGESTED SOLUTION TO QUESTION 4

## 1) INVENTORY VALUES

1. Raw materials

R
Invoiced costs
(\$1 $000000 \times \mathrm{R} 3,60$ )
3600000

## Dockage

22500
Transport costs
Clearing services
Customs duties
Total: 100000 kg
Closing inventories (30 000 @ R37,89)
2. Finished products

Raw materials
(26 $000 \div 0,9^{*} \times 37,89$ )
$18000 \div 0,9 \times 2 \times 37,89) \quad 1094600$
Direct labour
$(152000+24000-6000) \times 8 \quad 1360000$
$(164000+20000) \times 8$
Direct factory overheads
Fixed factory overheads
(1 120 000/(8x 22 000) x
(152000 + $24000-6000)$
(1 200 000/(164 $000+20000)$
x 184000
Total manufacturing costs
Per product (3 696 418/26 000);
(4 357 600/18 000)

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 3600000 \\ 22500 \\ 15000 \\ 1500 \\ 150000 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 3789000 |
|  | 1136700 |
| Cattex R | Fumex R |
| 1094600 | 1515600 |
| 1360000 |  |
| 160000 | $\begin{array}{r} 1472000 \\ 170000 \end{array}$ |
| 1081818 |  |
|  | 1200000 |
| 3696418 | 4357600 |
| 142,17 | 242,09 |

* The raw materials input is taken as: $26000 \div 0,9=28889$. This number is reconciled as follows:

| Total input per question | 30000 kg |
| :--- | :--- |
| Normal spillages of $10 \%$ | 3000 kg |
| Abnormal spillages | 1000 kg |

The 3000 kg should be allocated as follows between the "good" and "abnormal" products in the ratio 26 000:1 000.

26000 + (26/27 x 3000$)$
$1000+(1 / 27 \times 3000)$
Total kilograms consumed

| 28889 |
| ---: |
| 1111 |
| 30000 |

30000

Cost price of closing inventory
$(0,25 \times 26000 \times 142,17)$
$(0,25 \times 18000 \times 242,09)$
924105

Net realisable value
Selling price
Selling costs:
Delivery costs
Sales commission

| 150,00 | 300,00 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $(2,00)$ |
| $(7,50)$ | $(15,00)$ |
| 140,50 | 283,00 |

Statement of financial position amounts
Cattex: $(0,25 \times 26000 \times 140,50)$
Fumex: $(0,25 \times 18000 \times 242,09)$

Labour costs attributable to abnormal idle time should consequently not be allocated to the cost price of inventories. The labour costs related to idle time during the strike should therefore not be attributable to the cost of Cattex.
Fixed production overheads should be allocated to cost of inventories based on normal capacity. Fixed production overheads were over recovered as a result of the 6000 abnormal idle hours included with labour hours. This portion of fixed production overheads should not form part of the cost price of Cattex.

At year-end it is necessary to compare the cost of each product with its net realisable value. If the cost exceeds the net realisable value, the product should be written down to net realisable value. This is the case with Cattex, where the net realisable value amounts to R140,50 per unit.

## 3) INVENTORIES IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Revenue
Amount of inventories recognised as expense (1)
Gross profit
(1) Finished products
[(3696418+4357600) x 75\%]
Abnormal raw materials
[(30 000-26 $000 \div 0,9) \times 37,89]$
Abnormal labour (6 $000 \times 8$ )
Unallocated production overheads
(1 120000-1 081 818)
Write-off to net realisable value
(924 105-913 250)
$\mathrm{x} x \mathrm{xx} \mathrm{xxx}$ (6 179 651)
xxx xxx

6040514
42100
48000
38182
$\qquad$
6179651

## R

10855
3. Inventories

Raw materials
Finished products

R
1136700 2002655
3139355

## SUGGESTED SOLUTION TO QUESTION 5

The historical cost of inventories consists of all purchase costs, conversion costs and other costs incurred to bring inventories to their current location and condition (IAS 2.10). These costs include, amongst others, fixed and variable overheads (IAS 2.12).

Producion overheads represent costs (except for direct material and labour) incurred for production purposes.

Lease finance charges: finance charges should not form part of production overheads. The depreciation on the capitalised assets will however form part of production overheads.

Recruitment costs for personnel, other advertising costs and research costs: these costs do not directly relate to bringing inventories to their current location and condition and it therefore does not form part of production overheads.

Factory administration costs form part of production overheads (IAS 2.12).
When allocating production overheads, the costs should be linked to the normal capacity of the production plant and not to the monthly production volume (IAS 2.13), Normal capacity is the normal production volume expected over the course of a number of production periods

This ensures an even allocation of overheads to inventory and ensures that idle time or inefficient utilisation of the production plant will not be capitalised as part of inventories.

## SUGGESTED SOLUTION TO QUESTION 6

## PART A

## PRETORIA BRANCH <br> STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE MONTH ENDED 31 MARCH

| FIFO | WA |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 70,000 | 70,000 |
| $(28,000)$ | $(34,532)$ |
| - | - |
| 177,500 | 177,500 |
| $(149,500)$ | $(142,968)$ |
|  |  |
| 42,000 | 35,468 |

Gross profit
The manager of the Pretoria branch would prefer the FIFO-method, since it will give him a higher commission

1. $1000 \times 40+500 \times 55+2000 \times 55=177,500$
2. $300 \times 40+500 \times 55+2000 \times 55=149,500$
3. $(1000 \times 40+500 \times 55)=67,500$
$67500 / 1500=45$
$(67500-200 \times 45+2000 \times 55) /(1500-200+2000)=51,06$
$(1500-200+2000-500) \times 51,06=142,968$

## CAPE TOWN-BRANCH

STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE MONTH ENDED 31 MARCH

| FIFO | WA |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 70,000 | 70,000 |
| $(28,000)$ | $(26,952)$ |
| - | - |
| 127,500 | 127,500 |
| $(99,500)$ | $(100,548)$ |
|  |  |
| 42,000 | 43,048 |

Gross profit $\qquad$
The manager of the Cape Town branch would prefer the weighted average method, since it will give him a higher commission.

1. $1000 \times 40+500 \times 55+2000 \times 30=127,500$
2. $300 \times 40+500 \times 55+2000 \times 30=99,500$
3. $1000 \times 40+500 \times 55=67,500$
$67500 / 1500=45$
$(67500-200 \times 45+2000 \times 30) /(1500-200+2000)=35,91$
$(1500-200+2000-500) \times 35,91=100,548$

## PART B

AS 2.25 states that the cost of inventories should be assigned by using the first-in-first-out or weighted average cost formulas.

The issue is whether it is acceptable for the two branches to use different cost formulas.

The measurement of like transactions and other events must be carried out in a consistent way throughout the entity and over time (The Conceptual Framework QC20).

An entity should use the same cost formula for all inventories having similar nature and use to the entity (IAS 2.25).

Since the coffee is used for the same purpose by both branches, the same cost formula should be used

A difference in geographical location of inventories by itself, is not sufficient to justify the use of different cost formulas.

Although the coffee shops are geographically separated, the same cost formula should still be used, since the inventories are similar in nature and use.

Management should consult with the different coffee shop managers on which cost formula should be used as standard across the company.

