

## Survey Policy

Document type: *Policy*

Document number: *Rt 303/10 (final)*

---

### 1. Purpose

The University of Pretoria undertakes or participates in numerous surveys involving its students, staff and alumni, as well as community and employer groups. These surveys help to provide an empirical basis for management initiatives, strategic planning, decision-making and policy reviews. To date these surveys have been conducted on an *ad hoc* basis within the University and no formal co-ordination of surveys or survey results has existed. The increase in the number of surveys, the importance of the correct dissemination of survey results, and the interrelationship between these surveys has resulted in an increased requirement for coordination and management of surveys by a central office and the development of a formal survey policy for the University.

The purpose of this document is to outline the policy framework for surveying staff, students, alumni and other stakeholders of the University, the procedures applicable to doing and scheduling surveys, and the policy on disseminating management information received from surveys.

This survey policy has been established to ensure that surveys conducted in the University are of a consistently high standard; do not duplicate data collection conducted through other University surveys; do not compromise population samples through overlapping surveys; do not over-survey the University's core stakeholders; and that survey outcomes are disseminated and used appropriately.

Adherence to this policy will ensure that the University will be provided with robust data and analysis for its quality assurance, benchmarking and continuous improvement purposes. Accordingly, this survey policy fulfils the University's commitment to ensuring that surveys conducted under the auspices of the University are of the highest standard, meet ethical norms and deliver results that add significant value to the strategic decision-making processes of the University.

The policy is based on survey management models used by a selection of universities abroad as well as local South African universities.

## **2. Definitions/Abbreviations**

### **Ethics Committees of the Various Faculties**

All Faculty Committees with the responsibility for protecting the welfare and the rights of (human) participants in research; ensuring the effective consent of research subjects to participation in a research project and minimising the risks associated with research on humans.

### **Quality Assurance**

The procedures the University uses for ensuring and monitoring the effectiveness and standards of its activities.

### **Surveys**

Surveys are defined as a means of data collection using structured or semi-structured instruments that may take the form of written, oral ( including face-to-face, telephone, or focus groups) or online modes of questioning and collection methods.

### **University stakeholders**

The core group of stakeholders covered by the University of Pretoria's Survey Policy currently consists of registered students, current staff, and University alumni. Stakeholders also include members of the wider University community, for example, employers of graduates, prospective students, school staff and parents, donors as well as government, semi-government and private organisations.

### **Survey Coordinating Committee**

A committee that oversees the management of surveys in the University and monitors the survey processes to ensure that they comply with the Survey Policy. The Committee has the power to make decisions and to steer surveys according to the strategic priorities and strategies of the University. It may not give any form of ethics approval for conducting a survey.

## **Survey Register**

The list of approved surveys of the University of Pretoria.

## **Market Research Office**

The Market Research Office within the Department of Institutional Research and Planning is responsible for the internal and external market research amongst the major stakeholders. It also offers market research services and support to the support departments and faculties. The office is responsible for the co-ordination of surveys in the University as well as the administration of the University's survey register.

### **3. Organisational scope "Applicable to"**

The University's Code of Ethics for Research requires that those responsible for initiating University surveys must seek advice from the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Humanities to determine if ethics approval is required. The following general guideline applies. All surveys that involve respondents will require ethics committee approval. Where surveys are to be of a recurring nature, application can be made to the ethics committee for a standard operating procedure (SOP) that will eliminate the need for repeated application to the ethics committee. If a survey proposal for an external company, individuals or entities is accepted by the Survey Coordinating Committee, the survey proposal must also be approved by the Ethics Committee in the Faculty of Humanities. In the case of a clinical study, the study must be approved by the Ethics Committee in the Faculty of Health Sciences.

#### **3.1. Surveys within the Scope of this Policy**

Surveys within the scope of this framework include, but are not limited to:

- internal quality assurance surveys (e.g. surveys conducted for quality audit purposes, or by individual Faculties and Support Departments in respect of student success, learning and teaching, for the evaluation of staff, student or alumni perceptions of aspects of their experience of the University);
- surveys commissioned or sponsored by internal organisational units or external parties, including University staff, Campus companies, Government departments or agencies, other external individuals or entities;

- internally sponsored market research surveys (e.g. surveys conducted with students and prospective students, graduates and alumni in relation to consumer behaviour and purchase intent);
- internal managerial surveys in respect of staff, students or alumni to determine attitudes and opinions (e.g. satisfaction surveys, climate surveys, staff perceptions surveys);
- surveys where the University's brand and reputation are at stake;
- market quantification surveys and competitor analysis where inputs are requested from other local and international institutions and organizations.

### **3.2. Surveys outside the Scope of the Survey Coordinating Committee**

The following types of survey are considered to be outside the scope of the Survey Coordinating Committee:

- Surveys that are initiated by UP academic staff for the purpose of their own academic research, except in the cases where the surveys are expected to sample a number exceeding the prescribed threshold level, which is presently prescribed as 10% of any source population; however, if UP academic staff are commissioned by to undertake surveys, these will not fall outside the scope of the Survey Coordinating Committee (see 3.1 above);
- Surveys conducted by individual academic staff with students enrolled in their modules and study programmes as part of internal processes to assess or assure the quality of learning and teaching;
- Simple course feedback surveys with low impact (such as those soliciting feedback from participants at the end of a education programme);
- Surveys involving aggregated data collected for external benchmarking exercises within a specific functional area where the manager of the organisational unit has approved the submission of data by their staff;
- Surveys conducted on services within the University or surveys of students on effectiveness of learning management systems or for purposes of the scholarship of teaching and learning.

## **4. Policy statement**

This survey policy ensures that surveys conducted in the University are of a consistently high standard; do not duplicate data collection conducted through other University surveys;

do not compromise population samples through overlapping surveys; do not over-survey the University's core stakeholders; and that survey outcomes are disseminated and used appropriately.

Adherence to this policy will ensure that the University will be provided with robust data and analysis for its quality assurance, benchmarking and continuous improvement purposes. Accordingly, this survey policy fulfils the University's commitment to ensuring that surveys conducted under the auspices of the University are of the highest standard, meet ethical norms and deliver results that add significant value to the strategic decision-making processes of the University.

#### **4.1 The responsibilities of the Survey Coordinating Committee**

The Survey Coordinating Committee is responsible for determining the procedures for the approval, selection, registration and management of surveys within its scope.

This is interpreted to include:

- The determination and the maintenance of the criteria for selection, approval and registration of surveys within its scope;
- Decisions on allowing appropriate access to survey populations, particularly those for whom the University has direct responsibility, and the conditions under which the population details (such as demographic and contact details) are held and managed;
- Decisions on the appropriateness of a survey instrument and platform (electronic, or paper-based);
- Applying relevant information and privacy laws to a survey instrument;
- Determining the conditions under which external agencies contracted to the University for survey purposes may operate in relation to conducting surveys, including confidentiality and ethical principles;
- Monitoring the internal management of survey data and reports, including issues of access, confidentiality and intellectual property in respect of data and information gathered via surveys;
- Ensuring reasonable limits to the volume of survey requests that the University makes of individuals or particular cohorts, and developing a protocol for giving effect to this policy;
- The development of procedures for monitoring the numbers and types of surveys being undertaken;

- The development of procedures for collecting, storing and disseminating survey data and findings which comply with the information management policies of the University;
- The approval for and registration of a survey;
- Evaluating whether the appropriate internal infrastructure and capacity exists within the applicant's department to support both the rigour of the research project (design and development, data collection and capturing, analysis and interpretation), as well as the logistical support associated with the role-out of the proposed research project.

The committee will consist of the following members:

- The Registrar
- Vice-Principal responsible for Research
- Executive Director responsible for Institutional Research and Planning (Chairperson)
- The member of staff in the Department of Institutional Research responsible for coordinating market surveys in the University.

The Committee has the right to co-opt additional members or to invite other staff members to participate in the evaluation process of surveys.

#### **4.2 Survey Register - Schedule of Approved Surveys**

The Survey Register contains a schedule of approved surveys to assist the University in keeping track of the number, range and timeframes of surveys under the remit of the Survey Coordinating Committee. The Register is maintained by the Market Research Office of the Department of Institutional Research and Planning. The register is available from the Market Research Office.

#### **4.3 Access to University Populations**

Access to the data of the student, staff and alumni populations is only allowed through the support of the Bureau for Institutional Research and Planning (BIRAP), the Registrar (in the case of students and alumni) or the Director: Human Resources (in the case of staff). In this regard the required data will only be made available after a project has been approved by the Survey Coordinating Committee.

Applicants have a responsibility to ensure that sufficient notice is given to data providers for the extraction of the required population data.

#### **4.4 Criteria for Approval of Applications**

In approving applications, the Survey Coordinating Committee will take the following issues into consideration in order to minimise the impact on survey populations and ensure maximum efficiency and effectiveness where possible:

- the volume and range of surveys taking place within the relevant scheduled period;
- the potential impact on the population;
- possible duplication or redundancy of information to be solicited from survey respondents;
- whether the required information could be obtained from existing data sources;
- the potential value and quality of the data to be collected to the University as well as the applicant;
- proposed method for ascertaining, for example, whether sufficient responses have been obtained from a sub-sample of the targeted sample;
- the importance of the project and whether the information will provide valuable and essential input to management plans for achieving the University's strategic objectives;
- the dissemination of information – (Who will have access to the information and where will the results be published? e.g. academic journals, University publications, management documents);
- Resources required for doing the survey – in-house staff, external consultants, costs, etc.
- Indication of the way in which the data obtained will be archived.

#### **4.5 Procedure for Scheduling Applications**

Applications for approval, registration and scheduling of a survey are subject to a single-stage process. The process is summarised as follows.

##### **4.5.1 Key Elements of the Application and Approval Process**

a) Survey applicants are to consult the current Survey Register to take into account other projects within the calendar year when proposing dates for data collection commencement and completion.

b) Applicants must submit a Survey Proposal Application to the Survey Committee outlining the purpose of the survey, the target population and intended commencement date of the

survey. Applicants are expected to give reasonable notice of their request to allow for approval, registration and scheduling clearance and appropriate access to population databases.

Required information will include:

- project aims and information sought;
- population demographic information of the survey sample;
- method of population sampling;
- data collection methods;
- a copy of the survey instrument;
- resources required for doing the survey;
- proposed dates of data collection commencement and completion;
- supporting documentation (such as ethics committee or other required approvals);
- appropriate procedures and contacts in the event of any complaint or other correspondence by respondents:
- the dissemination of information – (Who will have access to the information and where will the results be published? e.g. academic journals, University publications, management documents)
- Indication of the way in which the data will be archived in accordance with the University's Information Management Policy

c) If a project is approved by the Survey Coordinating Committee and ethical clearance is required for the research project, the project proposal must be submitted to the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Humanities for approval.

d) Once the project has been approved by the Survey Coordinating Committee and the ethical committee (if required) , an extract from the application form will be displayed in the survey registry showing the contact details of the principal applicant and brief project details.

#### **4.5.2 Survey Approval**

The key activities involved in gaining approval to register and conduct a survey are the following:

Determining whether there is an existing survey that can be used, or expanded/adapted;

Determining whether Ethics Committee approval is required; and

Determining whether the proposed survey falls within the scope of the Survey Coordinating Committee.



### 4.5.3 Time-Frame for Seeking Approval

Submissions for approval of proposed surveys, where they fall within the scope of the Survey Coordination Committee's authority, must be submitted *at least two months prior* to the intended date of issuing the survey to its intended recipients.

### 4.6 Key Responsibilities

The distribution of responsibilities for key activities in the survey approval process is summarised in the table below.

Key activity	Responsible	Access to
<b>Survey proposal preparation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Determine if an existing survey on Survey Register can be used or expanded</li> <li>• Determine if proposed survey falls within the scope of the Survey Coordination Committee</li> <li>• Obtain the required information for proposal application</li> <li>• Choose if survey will be electronic or paper-based</li> <li>• Determine the intended commencement date of survey</li> <li>• Determine timeframe for execution of survey from Survey Register</li> <li>• Prepare and submit the survey proposal application</li> </ul>	Survey applicant	Market Research Office Survey Coordination Committee
<b>Survey proposal submission for approval</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seek survey approval from the Survey Coordination Committee</li> <li>• Seek survey approval from the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Humanities where applicable</li> </ul>	Survey applicant	Survey Coordination Committee
<b>Admission to survey register</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Once the survey proposal is approved by the Survey Coordination Committee and the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Humanities it will be registered</li> </ul>	Market Research Office	

in the Survey Register		
<b>Support with obtaining population sample</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ask BIRAP for support on determining and preparing the population sample</li> </ul>	Survey applicant	BIRAP
<b>Conducting of survey</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Manage survey activities</li> <li>Manage survey data analysis</li> <li>Send final report to Survey Coordination Committee</li> </ul>	Person responsible for the research	Market Research Office
<b>Survey report</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determines whether results will be published</li> <li>Determine where and how results will be archived</li> </ul>	Person responsible for the research	Survey Coordination Committee
<b>Maintenance of Survey Register</b>	Market Research Office	

#### 4.7 Data and Reporting

The information gathered from surveys that are conducted with the main purpose of providing management and marketing information, which are used as input for specific strategic objectives, are treated as confidential and are not allowed to be published outside the University, without formal approval from the Survey Coordinating Committee.

The results of surveys that are approved by the Survey Coordinating Committee must be provided to the Committee after completion.

#### 4.8 Governance and Administration

As mentioned above, the key governance body for implementing the Survey Policy is the Survey Coordinating Committee, which is a standing committee reporting to the Executive through the Executive member responsible for Institutional Research and Planning. It has the responsibility for approving, registering and scheduling University surveys and monitoring compliance with the Survey Policy. Administrative support is provided by the Market Research Office within the Department of Institutional Research and Planning. This unit also maintains the Survey Register and associated intranet publications.

## **4.9 Incentives**

In general incentives should not be offered for participating in surveys. If incentives are contemplated, the type of incentives and the costs involved must be presented to the Survey Coordinating Committee for consideration and possible approval.

## **4.10 Data analysis**

The person responsible for each research project approved by the Survey Coordinating Committee is also responsible for the data analysis. The University of Pretoria offers data and statistical analysis support to students and staff through *Statomet*. However, this service is only available for academic research projects. In cases where support is required from *Statomet* for other surveys, an official project has to be registered with *Statomet*. The service will be rendered at a cost and the department responsible for the research will be responsible for the expense.

## **4.11 Electronic online surveys**

In the case of online surveys the person responsible for the research project must inform the Market Research Office. Online surveys will only be hosted on the University student web after permission is given by the Market Research Office.

## **5. Associated documents**

All surveys must comply with relevant University policies and guidelines including, but not necessarily limited to:

- Promotion of Access to Information Act, Act no. 2 of 2000
- Language policy
- Code of ethics for research
- Guidelines of Research Ethics Committees
- Intellectual Property Policy
- Policy on Privacy
- The Protection of Personal Information Bill
- Storage of research data

The Survey Coordinating Committee will provide links to these documents and emphasise the importance of adhering to these policies.

## **6. Responsibility for implementation**

The Executive Director, Institutional and Planning will be responsible for the University wide implementation of this policy through appropriate delegation of responsibility to Faculties and Support Services.

## **7. Policy life cycle**

The owner shall consider the policy for renewal every three years after approval, or earlier if required.

## 8. Document metadata:

<b>Document</b>	
Number:	
Version:	<i>Draft 0.1</i>
<b>Role players</b>	
Owner:	<i>Prof Melck</i>
Author:	<i>Carlien Nell</i>
Entity of author:	<i>Department of Institutional Research and Planning</i>
Contributor(s):	
<b>Recommended</b>	
<i>Director's Forum</i>	<i>yyyy-mm-dd</i>
<i>Senate Committee</i>	<i>Name of Committee comes here</i>
Date:	<i>yyyy-mm-dd</i>
<b>Approval</b>	
<i>Senate</i>	
Meeting reference number:	<i>S...</i>
Date:	<i>yyyy-mm-dd</i>
<i>Executive</i>	
Meeting reference number:	<i>Rt 303/10 (final)</i>
Date:	<i>2010-10-25</i>
<b>Announced</b>	
Date of announcement:	<i>yyyy-mm-dd</i>
<b>Effective from</b>	
Implementation date:	<i>yyyy-mm-dd</i>
<b>Review</b>	
Next review date:	<i>2011-10-01</i>
Last review date:	<i>2010-10-01</i>
Last reviewer:	<i>Carlien Nell</i>
<b>Successions</b>	
<i>Superseded (this document has been replaced):</i>	<i>Document number comes here</i>
<i>Supersede (this document replaces):</i>	<i>Document number comes here</i>
<b>Revoke</b>	
Cancellation date:	<i>yyyy-mm-dd</i>