

# On mixing behaviour of chaotic linear dynamical systems.

**Samir EL Mouchid, (joint work with M. Chakir)**

Evolutionary Processes on Networks  
Kigali

March- 23- 2018

## Papers on the subject

- 1 S. EL M, K. Latrach, *On the ergodic approach for the study of chaotic linear infinite-dimensional systems*, **Diff. Integ. Eq.** **2013**
- 2 M. Chakir, S. EL M, *Strong mixing Gaussian measures for chaotic semigroups*, **J. Math. Ana. App.** **2018**.
- 3 F. Bayart, E. Matheron, *Mixing operators and small subsets of the circle*, **J. Reine angew. Math.** **2016**.
- 4 Z. Brzeźniak, H. Long, *A note on gamma-radonifying and summing operators*, **Stochastic Analysis, Banach Centre Publications 105**, IMPAS, Warsaw **2015**.
- 5 R. Rudnicki, *An ergodic approach to chaos*, **Discrete. Contin. Dyn. Syst.**, 35, **2015**

# Outline

## 1 Problematic

- Contributions

## 2 Perspectives

# Deterministic but unpredictable

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dX_t}{dt} &= F(X_t), t \geq 0. \\ X_{n+1} &= F(X_n), n \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

**Deterministic** : Given initial state  $X_0 \implies$  Complete knowledge about all the future states.

**Unpredictable** : Due to sensitive dependence on initial states  $X_0$ .

**Small** changes in the initial data  $X_0 \implies$  **huge** changes in the solution  $X_t, (X_n)$

Consequence : **Disorder, erratic solutions** and **strange behaviour**.

Readable references :

- 1 R.L. Devaney, *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems* (2nd edn), Addison–Wesley Studies in Nonlinearity, 1989.
- 2 R.L. Devaney, *Chaos, Fractals and Dynamics : Computer experiments in Mathematics*, 1992.

# Problem : Find a hidden order under the apparent disorder.

## What does order mean ?

A measurable quantity that becomes constant : Let us say that an equilibrium will be achieved after an increasing disorder.

## At the equilibrium

The system is predictable in terms of averages :(The idea goes back to Ludwig Boltzmann in statistical mechanics)

$$\text{Temporal average : } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(F^k(x_0)), \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(x(t, x_0)).$$

$$\text{Spatial average : } \langle f \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

## Ergodic Hypothesis :

$$\text{Temporal average} = \text{Spatial average.}$$

# Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem : When Temporal and spatial averages coincide ?

$F : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\mu(F^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$ , for every Borel set  $A$

$F_t : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\mu(F_t^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$ , for every Borel set  $A$  and  $t \geq 0$

$\mu$  is called invariant measure, and a Borel subset  $A$  is invariant with respect to  $(F_t)$ , if  $F_t^{-1}(A) = A$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .

$(F_t)$  is ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ , if every invariant set  $A$  has measure 0 or 1. (or simply  $\mu$  is ergodic.)

**Theorem** : If  $\mu$  is ergodic then for every,  $f \in L^1(X, d\mu)$ , and for  $\mu$  a.e  $x_0 \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(F_t(x_0)) dt = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx).$$

# Formulation of the problem for chaotic linear systems\*

$X$  separable Banach space.

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = A x(t), \quad x(0) \in X.$$

**Problem 1 :** *Assume that the semigroup solution  $(T_t)$  is chaotic. Does there exist (or when exists) an invariant measure with respect to  $(T_t)$  having interesting ergodic properties ?*

**Problem 2 :** *Characterize the invariance of the measure using the generator  $A$ .*

\* R. Rudnicki, *Chaos for some infinite-dimensional dynamical systems*, Appl. Sci. 27 (2004) 723-738.

## Linear chaos : Definition and comments

## Definition

$(T_t)$  is chaotic if :

- 1 *Transitive* :  $\forall G_1, G_2$  nonempty opens,  $\exists t > 0, T_t(G_1) \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$
- 2  $\{p \in X, \exists t > 0, T_t p = p\}$  is dense.

**Result1** : TOO MUCH eigenvalues of  $A$  around  $i\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  Chaos.

**Result2** : TOO MUCH imaginary eigenvalues of  $A$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  Chaos.

\* W. Desch, W. Schappacher, and G. F. Webb, *Hypercyclic and chaotic semigroups of linear operators*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **17** (1997), 793–819.

\*\* J. Banasiak, M Moszynski, *A generalization of Desch-Schappacher-Webb criteria for chaos* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst 12 (5), 959-972

\*\*\* S. EL Mourchid, *The imaginary point spectrum and hypercyclicity*, Semigroup Forum. **73** (2006), 313-316.



## Preliminaries

## Definition

A centered Gaussian measure  $\mu$ , on a separable Banach  $E$  is a probability measure such that each continuous linear functional  $x^* \in E^*$  is a centered Gaussian variable when considered as a random variable on the probability space  $(E, \mu)$ .

There is  $\xi$ , an  $E$ -valued random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ,

$$\mu(F) = \mathbb{P}(\xi \in F), \quad F \in \mathcal{F}.$$

$E^*$  can be embedded into a subspace of  $L^2(E, \mu)$ . The covariance operator of  $\mu$  is then the unique bounded conjugate linear operator  $Q: E^* \rightarrow E$ , such that for every  $(x^*, y^*) \in E^* \times E^*$ ,

$$\langle y^*, Qx^* \rangle = \int_E \langle y^*, x \rangle \overline{\langle x^*, x \rangle} \mu(dx) = \langle y^*, x^* \rangle_{L^2(d\mu)}.$$

## Preliminaries

## Theorem

$\hat{\mu}$  is the complex-valued function defined on the dual space  $E^*$  by

$$\hat{\mu}(x^*) = \int_X e^{i\Re\langle x^*, x \rangle} d\mu(x) = e^{\frac{-\langle x^*, Qx^* \rangle}{4}}.$$

## Definition

$(T_t)$  is called a strongly mixing semigroup if for all Borelian sets  $A, B$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(T_t^{-1}A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

$\mu$  will be called a strong mixing measure.

Invariance and strong mixing via  $Q$ . (\*)

## Theorem

Let  $(T_t)$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a separable Banach space  $E$ . If  $\mu$  is a centered Gaussian measure with covariance operator  $Q$ , then

$\mu$  is invariant if and only if  $T_t Q T_t^* = Q$ , for all  $t > 0$ ,

$\mu$  is strong mixing if and only if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle x^*, T_t Q y^* \rangle = 0$ , for all  $x^*, y^* \in E^*$ .

(\*)R. Rudnicki, *Gaussian measure- Preserving linear transformations*, Univ. Jagell. Ac. Math., **30** (1993).

Partial answer :  $X = L^p(T, d\sigma)$  : EL M- Latrach 2013

## Theorem

Assume that  $\sigma_p(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is contained in  $i(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  for some  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  such that  $-\infty \leq \omega_1 < \omega_2 \leq +\infty$ , and there is a measurable function  $u : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \mapsto X$  satisfying the following conditions :

- 1  $u_s := u(s) \in \ker(is - A)$  for a.e.  $s \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ ,
- 2  $(\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} |u_s(\cdot)|^2 ds)^{\frac{1}{2}} = v(\cdot) \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,
- 3  $\text{span}\{u_s, s \in (\omega_1, \omega_2) \setminus N\}$  is dense in  $X$  for every subset  $N$  with zero Lebesgue measure.

Then there exists an invariant Gaussian measure  $\nu$ , such that  $\text{supp}(\nu) = X$  with respect to which  $T(\cdot)$  is strong mixing.

## Proof based on gamma radonifying operators theory(\*)

$$L^2 \longrightarrow X$$

$$K: f \longmapsto \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} f(s) u_s ds$$

$Q = KK^*$  covariance operator : Every Hilbertian basis  $(f_n)$  of  $L^2$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |Kf_n(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} |u_s(x)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^p(\Omega). \quad (1)$$

To conclude the invariance of the measure

$$L^2 \longrightarrow L^2,$$

$$G_t: f \longmapsto G_t f(s) = e^{ist} f(s).$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(t)QT^*(t) &= T(t)KK^*T^*(t), \\ &= KG_tG_t^*K^*, \\ &= KK^*. \end{aligned}$$

(\*) Vakhania, Tarieladze, Chobanyan, *Probability distributions on Banach spaces* (1987).

## Proof : Mixing behaviour

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x^*, T(t)Qy^* \rangle &= \langle x^*, T(t)KK^*y^* \rangle, \\ &= \langle x^*, KG_tK^*y^* \rangle, \\ &= \langle K^*x^*, G_tK^*y^* \rangle_{L^2}, \\ &= \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} e^{-ist} (K^*x^*)(s) \overline{(K^*y^*)(s)} ds. \quad \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}$$

## Application to an abnormal cell population dynamic

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial(xu(t,x))}{\partial x} + \gamma(x)u(t,x) - \beta(x)u(t,x) + 4\beta(2x) u(t,2x) \chi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}(x) \\ u(0, \cdot) = \phi \in L^1(0,1). \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v(t,y)}{\partial t} = e^y \frac{\partial(e^{-y}v(t,y))}{\partial y} + \gamma v(t,y) - \beta v(t,y) + 4\beta v(t,y - \ln 2) \chi_{(\ln 2, \infty)}(y), \\ v(0, \cdot) = \psi \in L^1((0, \infty), e^{-y} dy), \end{cases}$$

with  $\psi(y) := \phi(e^{-y})$ . Under suitable conditions, there exists a nondegenerate Gaussian measure for which  $T(\cdot)$  is strong mixing.

(Similar result has been obtained by different methods in \*)

(\*)R. Rudnicki, *Chaoticity and invariant measures for a cell population model*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **393** (2012)

## Alternative proof with solution to Prob2. (Chakir-EL M 2018)

## Theorem

Assume that  $\sigma_p(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is contained in  $(i\omega_1, i\omega_2)$  for some  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  such that  $-\infty \leq \omega_1 < \omega_2 \leq +\infty$ , and there is a countable family of measurable functions  $(u^j)_{j \in J}$   $u^j : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for every  $j \in J$ , satisfying the following conditions :

- 1 For all  $j \in J$ ,  $u_s^j := u^j(s, \cdot) \in \ker(is - A)$  for a.e  $s \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ ,
- 2  $(\sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} |u_s^j(\cdot)|^2 ds)^{\frac{1}{2}} = v(\cdot) \in E$ ,
- 3  $\text{span}\{u_s^j, s \in (\omega_1, \omega_2) \setminus N, j \in J\}$  is dense in  $E$ , for every subset  $N$  with zero Lebesgue measure.

Then  $(T_t)$  is strongly mixing with respect to a full support invariant Gaussian measure.



## Solution to Prob2. (Chakir-EL M 2018)

## Theorem

*A centred Gaussian measure  $\mu$ , on  $X$  with covariance operator  $R_\mu$ , is invariant if and only if  $AR_\mu + R_\mu A^* = 0$  holds on  $D(A^*)$ .*

## Plan of the proof :

- 1 Differentiation of  $t \mapsto T_t R_\mu T_t^* x^*$ , for  $x^* \in D(A^*)$ ,
- 2 Compactness of  $R_\mu$ ,
- 3 weak \* denseness of  $D(A^*)$  in  $X^*$ .

## Proof

## Démonstration.

Assume  $\mu$  invariant,  $R_\mu = T(t)R_\mu T^*(t)$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ , and let  $x^* \in D(A^*)$ .

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{1}{t} (T^*(t) - I)x^*, x \right\rangle = \langle A^* x^*, x \rangle, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

By uniform boundedness principle  $(\frac{1}{t}(T^*(t) - I)x^*)_{0 < t < 1}$  is bounded in  $X^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall (t_n) \rightarrow 0) \frac{1}{t_n} (T(t_n)R_\mu x^* - R_\mu x^*) &= \frac{1}{t_n} (T(t_n)R_\mu x^* - T(t_n)R_\mu T^*(t_n)x^*), \\ &= \frac{1}{t_n} T(t_n)R_\mu (x^* - T^*(t_n)x^*). \end{aligned}$$



## Proof

$R_\mu : X^* \rightarrow X$  compact :

$\exists (t_{n_k}) (R_\mu \frac{1}{t_{n_k}} (x^* - T^*(t_{n_k})x^*))_k \rightarrow w$ .

Claim :  $w = -R_\mu A^* x^*$ ,

For  $y^*$  arbitrarily in  $X^*$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y^*, w \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle y^*, R_\mu \frac{1}{t_{n_k}} (x^* - T^*(t_{n_k})x^*) \rangle, \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{1}{t_{n_k}} (x^* - T^*(t_{n_k})x^*), R_\mu y^* \rangle, \\ &= \langle -A^* x^*, R_\mu y^* \rangle, \\ &= \langle y^*, -R_\mu A^* x^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that,

$$\forall (t_n) \rightarrow 0, \exists (t_{n_k}) \lim_k \frac{1}{t_{n_k}} (T(t_{n_k})R_\mu x^* - R_\mu x^*) = -R_\mu A^* x^*$$

Finally,  $R_\mu x^* \in D(A)$  and  $AR_\mu x^* = -R_\mu A^* x^*$ .

# The Converse's Proof

Let  $t > 0$ ,  $h \neq 0$  and  $x^* \in D(A^*)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h}(T_{t+h}R_\mu T_{t+h}^* x^* - T_t R_\mu T_t^* x^*) &= T_{t+h}R_\mu \frac{1}{h}[T_{t+h}^* - T_t^*]x^*, \\ &+ \frac{1}{h}[T_{t+h} - T_t]R_\mu T_t^* x^*. \end{aligned}$$

The first term converges to  $T_t R_\mu A^* T_t^* x^*$  (Compactness again!)

The second term converges to  $T_t A R_\mu T_t^* x^*$ .

we obtain

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}(T(t+h)R_\mu T^*(t+h)x^* - T(t)R_\mu T^*(t)x^*) = T(t)[R_\mu A^* + A R_\mu]T^* x^* = 0.$$

Hence for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$T(t)R_\mu T^*(t) = R_\mu, \text{ on, } D(A^*).$$

# Converse's Proof

To conclude :

For all  $y^* \in X^*$ ,

$$\langle \cdot, R_\mu y^* \rangle = \langle \cdot, T(t)R_\mu T^*(t)y^* \rangle,$$

on the *weak*<sup>\*</sup>-dense subspace  $D(A^*)$ .

The two mapping are *weak*<sup>\*</sup>-continuous

Thus,

$$R_\mu y^* = T(t)R_\mu T^*(t)y^*$$

holds for all  $y^* \in X^*$ .

Proof of the Main result where  $(T_t)$  is a chaotic translation

Recall  $(T_t)$  is chaotic in  $L^p(I, \rho)$  if and only if  $\int_I \rho(x) dx < \infty$ .

$$u_s(x) := \frac{\sqrt{2} e^{isx}}{\sqrt{\pi(1+s^2)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in I \quad Z_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sqrt{2} e^{isx}}{\sqrt{\pi(1+s^2)}} dw_s.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(Z_x, Z_y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u_s(x) \overline{u_s(y)} ds, \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\pi(1+s^2)} e^{is(x-y)} ds, \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{(1+s^2)} e^{is(x-y)} ds \right), \\ &= 2e^{-|x-y|}. \end{aligned}$$

$(Z_x)$  is the complex Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. The induced measure is invariant under translation since  $(Z_x)$  is stationary.

## Sketch of the proof : general case

$\{w^j, j \in J\}$  mutually independent two sided complex Brownian motions on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . For a.e.  $x \in T$ , define

$$Z_x := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} u_s^j(x) dw_s^j.$$

One has

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_x \overline{Z_y}) = \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} u_s^j(x) \overline{u_s^j(y)} ds.$$

$$(\mathbb{E}|Z_x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} |u_s^j(x)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We know,  $\exists c$ , such that  $(\mathbb{E}|Z_x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mathbb{E}|Z_x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . We deduce by (2),  $\int_T |Z_x|^p \sigma(dx) < \infty$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$  almost surely.

## Proof...

Define  $\mu(B) = \mathbb{P}\{\omega \in \Omega, Z(\omega) \in B\}$ , where  $B$  is a Borel set in  $E$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle g, Qf \rangle &= \int_E \langle g, u \rangle \overline{\langle f, u \rangle} \mu(du), \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \int_T g(x) Z_x(\omega) \sigma(dx) \overline{\int_T f(y) Z_y(\omega) \sigma(dy)} \mathbb{P}(d\omega), \\
 &= \int_T \int_T \int_{\Omega} Z_x(\omega) \overline{Z_y(\omega)} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) g(x) \overline{f(y)} \sigma(dx) \sigma(dy), \\
 &= \int_T \int_T \mathbb{E}(Z_x \overline{Z_y}) g(x) \overline{f(y)} \sigma(dx) \sigma(dy), \\
 &= \int_T \int_T \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} u_s^j(x) \overline{u_s^j(y)} ds g(x) \overline{f(y)} \sigma(dx) \sigma(dy), \\
 &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \int_T u_s^j(x) g(x) \sigma(dx) \overline{\int_T u_s^j(y) f(y) \sigma(dy)} ds, \\
 &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle g, u_s^j(\cdot) \rangle \overline{\langle f, u_s^j(\cdot) \rangle} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$



$\mu$  is invariant

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g, (AQ + QA^*)f \rangle &= \langle g, AQf \rangle + \langle g, QA^*f \rangle, \\ &= \langle A^*g, Qf \rangle + \langle g, QA^*f \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle A^*g, u_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, u_s^j \rangle} ds + \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle g, u_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle A^*f, u_s^j \rangle} ds, \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle g, Au_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, u_s^j \rangle} ds + \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle g, u_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, Au_s^j \rangle} ds, \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle g, isu_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, u_s^j \rangle} ds + \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle g, u_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, isu_s^j \rangle} ds, \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $(AQ + QA^*)f = 0$ , for all  $f \in D(A^*)$ .

# $\mu$ has a full support and strong mixing

For all  $f \in E^*$ , one has

$$\langle f, Qf \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} |\langle f, u_s^j(\cdot) \rangle|^2 ds \geq 0.$$

Moreover,  $\langle f, Qf \rangle = 0$  implies that  $f = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, T_t Qf \rangle &= \langle T_t^* g, Qf \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle T_t^* g, u_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, u_s^j \rangle} ds, \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \langle g, T_t u_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, u_s^j \rangle} ds, \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} e^{its} \langle g, u_s^j \rangle \overline{\langle f, u_s^j \rangle} ds, \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

# Outline

- 1 Problematic
  - Contributions
  
- 2 Perspectives

# Perspectives

To establish a central limit theorem for a chaotic semigroup on a Banach space  $X$ .

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T f(T_t(\cdot)) dt \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty$$

for a class of function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The inspiring paper :

- 1 F. Bayart, *Central limit theorems in linear dynamics*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et Statistiques ( to appear).