

SRC MEETING MINUTES FOR 25TH JULY 2018 AT 6PM IN THE SRC COMMITTEE ROOM

1. OPENING AND WELCOME

1.1 Mamello welcomed all and officially opened the meeting.

- 2. ATTENDANCE
 - 2.1 <u>PRESENT:</u> Soraia

Kyle Phindile Janie Mone Jodie

Janie

Lindi

Obakeng Stanford Duane Lihle Mamello Akhona Kutlwano

- 2.2 ABSENT WITH APOLOGY: Therese Aaron
 - Kwena Romario
- 2.3 <u>LATE WITH APOLOGY:</u> Heidi

3. ACCEPTANCE OF PREVIOUS MINUTES AND AGENDA

3.1 The agenda was accepted, and the previous minutes were accepted.

4. FEEDBACK FROM EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

4.1 SASCO requested a meeting with the SRC executive as well with study finance SRC member to discuss student issues.

5. MATTERS TO DISCUSS

5.1. KELLOGGS SPONSORSHIP

5.1.1 The Kelloggs representative introduced himself and introduced the competition that would be hosted at the UP campus. The competition would have nominations for 9 ambassadors to introduce the new Kelloggs noodles. The more noodles are sold, the more money will go to the ambassadors (students who need employment). The students will be identified by the SRC and money will be donated to the SRC. The representatives request that two days are made available (one for marketing of the competition and another day for the announcement of the winners). This campaign will encourage employment for the youth.

5.1.2 Mamello asked that documentation with POAs be sent to the SRC so that SRC can engage on the campaign.

5.1.3 The representative said that the documents will be sent but those who wish to read it must sign a non- disclosure agreement.

5.1.4 Mone asked when the competition would start. The representative said that it would start when we agree to host the campaign.

5.2 #CHOOSEUP DAY

5.2.1 Mamello said that Ms Tanya Oosthuysen requests a meeting with the SRC to discuss logistics of the #chooseUP day.

5.2.2 Phindile said that everyone must be present at 4th August 2018 until 3:30pm. Should the SRC require marketing we should contact Erika Roux.

5.2.3 Lihle has asked whether the SRC has released a statement on our stance.

5.2.4 Janie said that the academics of the different faculties will be going to different schools to market UP.

5.2.5 Heidi said that the residences will also be open on the open day.

5.2.6 Mamello said that the executive will draft a statement on the #chooseUP day. She also added that on the day we will also apply a shift like we did during the help desk.

5.3 FINANCES AND FINANCIAL REPORT AND AUDIT FEEDBACK

5.3.1 AKhona said that there are about 100 students who have still not received feedback from NSFAS

5.3.2 Duane said that the office of the treasurer has been auditing everyone's project pool. Duane goes through every SRC members cost centre to discuss how much SRC members have spent money on their POAs. Duane reported that the SRC study aid balance is R563000.00.

5.3.3 Akhona raised the concern that he needs to leave about R700000.00 for the incoming SRC. He also said that there are still students who need textbooks and registration fees to be paid; the SRC would need to do more fundraising.

5.4.4 Obakeng asked if it would be possible to have a detailed breakdown of each cost centre.

5.5.5 Stanford asked if it could be possible that money from the cost centres could be put in the SRC study fund cost centre if it is not possible for those portfolios to have any projects.

5.5.6 Akhona asked Duane if he has contacted any companies on the list that enterprise provided him with. Duane said that he is not allowed to approach any companies because it must be done through the DUR and Prof. Mosia.

5.5.7 Obakeng said he would provide Duane with direct details with the DUR so that a day can be set aside for a day of training. Duane will report back at the following SRC meeting.

5.4 PEOPLE NOT RESPONDING TO EMAILS

5.4.1 Kutlwano asks that SRC RSVP respond to invites on emails because it makes it difficult for Mam Fundi to handle meeting logistics. He also asks that SRC members remove their "out of office" response.

5.4.2 Mamello said that SRC members need to attend the Prof Mosia meeting and the Library Staff meeting. Kutlwano also asks that people RSVP web refresh meeting tomorrow.

5.4.3 Janie asks that the management provide a detail with what meetings entails so that SRC members can RSVP.

5.4.4 Stanford requests that the SRC must reply to emails because some issues are very important.

5.4.5 Mamello said that from next week we will have feedback sessions to see if there is progress.

5.4.6 Lihle said that when an important email is sent out that we look at it as soon as possible especially the one on the Anti-Discrimination Policy Draft Document.

5.4.7 Kutlwano asks that the Office of the President ask the Office of the Registrar for an extension for the deadline on the draft policy so that students can engage on it and that there is a sufficient time for public comment. He also asked that the SRC marketing member also market the public policy.

5.5 FUNDRAISING COMMITTEE FEEDBACK

5.5.1 The fundraising committee will meet with Duane to organise a training with DUR.

5.6 REPORT BACK FROM SAUS

5.6.1 Mamello will distribute the SAUS report next week to all SRC members and send it to Prof. Mosia. The initial problem was whether SAUS was executing their duties to represent all students. The affiliation fee of R30000.00 and transport for delegates would be funded by Prof. Mosia. At the conference SAUS was late for all their programs and delayed the formation of various commissions that deal with various student issues. The election process for SAUS was not free and fair resulting in UP SRC withdrawing. Mamello will also insert recommendations in the report and suggest that the R30000.00 that would have been paid to SAUS could be paid to study finance.

5.6.2 Stanford said that SAUS acted corruptly and he suggests that the SRC do not affiliate with them in the future.

5.6.3 Kutlwano says that SAUS is not apolitical as it should be and SRC members were intimidated. He supports the cause that the SRC UP withdraw from SAUS.

5.6.4 Lihle commented that SAUS has no power and merely serve as a persuasive body.

5.6.5 Mone suggests that the UP SRC make it public that we are not affiliated with SAUS.

5.7 FACULTY HOUSE CONSTITUITION

5.7.1Mamello said that when substructures amend constitutions that after alignment with the Constitutional Tribunal, the SRC must accept amendments afterwards.

5.7.2 Mamello addressed the NATHouse Constitution new amendments with regards to new substructure that deals with post graduate issues. Some additional duties and roles are added to each position.

5.7.3 Kutlwano suggests that faculty houses insert dates when their term commences.

5.7.4 Lihle asked whether the ex-officio will still be SRC members after new members are elected in their positions. Mamello said she will enquire into this.

5.7.5 Jodie asked that we tell the other faculty houses that there needs to be a post graduate representative.

5.7.6 Kutlwano says that constitutions must be aligned with the amended CSG to have post graduate representatives.

5.8 SRC LEADERSHIP COURSE

5.8.1 Mamello said it will cost R3000.00 per delegate and the SRC will not be attending this due to high costs.

5.9 FEEDBACK SESSION

5.9.1 Mamello said that each SRC needs to reflect on their POAs and report back to the rest of the SRC as to what we have done or what we still need to do.

5.9.2 Kyle asked for Mamello to send her quarterly report to him. Mamello said she would send it tonight to him.

5.9.3 Stanford asked that we have the feedback session next week so that SRC members have enough time to consult with each other about future plans.

5.9.4 Jodie asked that if she could read her POA progress now because she cannot attend the SRC meeting next week.

5.9.5 LIndi suggested that those who cannot attend the meeting next week, should email what they have done in their respective portfolios to the Office the Secretary.

5.9.6 Lible suggests that we rather set weekly targets (e.g what you want to achieve in your office hours) and report back to the SRC.

5.9.7 Kutlwano suggests that next week we have a full report back and the following weeks we have the short-term targets.

5.9.8 Mone suggests that if events have passed in our POA we report to the SRC as to why we weren't successful with the event.

5.9.9 The SRC voted that next week we would have a detailed POA reflection and that every month we would report back to every SRC.

5.10 TRANSPORT REQUEST FOR WOMAN MARCH

5.10.1 There was a Facebook request asking for transportation to Johannesburg to the march.

5.10.2 Kutlwano asked that a formal email request be sent asking for the transportation.

5.10.3 Duane said that there is a time restriction and it would be difficult to book a bus as we do not know how many people will be attending.

5.10.4 Mamello said she will wait for the formal request and then vote on the request.

5.11 DRESSCODE POLICY FOR CIVIL ENGINEERING

5.11.1 Mamello opened the topic about how the Civil Engineering study guide stipulates that woman cannot wear revealing clothing. Janie said that she will investigate the matter.

5.12 RSVPING TO THE VC EVENT

5.12.1 Next week Tuesday the VC would like to meet the SRC for a farewell.

5.12.2 Lihle said that she is having a gender-based violence discussion on topic and suggest we invite the VC to the event. Thereafter if she wishes we can have the dinner with the VC.

5.13 LIBRARY STAFF MEETING

5.13.1 Mamello asked that SRC members actively RSVP to the events emailed them and to the SRC Library meeting.

5.14 TRANSFORMATION EVENTS

5.14.1 Lihle says there will be transformation events happening next week Monday (guest speaker) and Tuesday (gender-based violence discussion). She will be sending

the posters for the SRC to share and market the events. She also added that the Pride event will also be happening in September with Up & Out.

5.15 WOMEN OF WORTH

5.15.1 There will be a Women of Worth event happening on the 11th August in collaboration with YCL and Golden Key. The posters will be sent out and she asks that we market this event.

5.15.2 The whole SRC should be there and male SRC members should assist with ushering and wear black suits.

5.15.3 Lihle says that the event is for women and anyone who identifies with the female gender.

5.15.4 Kutlwano asked if the YCL and Golden Key are financially contributing.

5.15.5 Lihle said that all three parties are partner to the event and they are splitting duties and costs such as food and invitations.

5.16 FACULTY HOUSE INVITATIONS

5.16.1 Lihle asked that the SRC members who were deployed to residences to go to those meetings and events.

5.16.2 Kutlwano asked Aaron and Heidi to speak to the Residence sub-council regarding their communication with the SRC Deployees.

5.17 STRUCTURE DEPLOYED

5.17.1 Lihle asked what the role of the SRC is in the various structures such as Senate and Institutional Forum.

5.17.2 Mamello said we should always give a student's perspective on topics that are raised in the meetings. She also suggests that Lihle place this in her feedback for her portfolio.

5.17.3 Mamello asked that the Office of the Secretary to contact Ms Viljoen as to who is in what structure because the wrong SRC members are getting emails for structures they are not in.

5.18 DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE

5.18.1 Lihle noted that they received quite a number of complaints which needed their urgent attention, however they were unable to deal with them because Dr J was on leave.

5.18.2Lihle has emphasized the importance of amending the code of conduct and ensuring that SRC members are disciplined accordingly.

5.19 GK DIALOGUE MEETING REPORT BACK

5.19.1 Phindile attended the meeting on GK and she highlights that some of the issues are the curriculum and the bus. She also congratulated Lindi and Stanford on getting the buses back on the GK campus again.

6. OTHER MATTERS ARISING

6.1 BENCHMARKING

6.1.1 Duane said that for future that the SRC should refrain from accepting invitations that require the SRC to pay for conferences.

6.2 STUDENT FORUM CONCERNS

- 6.2.1 Kutlwano announced that the next date of the Student Forum is the 22nd August (preliminary date). He requires our quarterly report by the 1st August.
- 6.2.2 Janie asked if the Student forum be changed because the 22nd of August is in the middle of faculty EC elections.
- 6.2.3 Kutlwano said we need to wait for approval of the event fro the DSA.

7. TIME AND DATE OF NEXT MEETING

7.1 Next meeting will be the 1st August 2018 at 6PM.

8. CLOSURE

8.1 Mamello thanked everyone for coming and officially closed the meeting



