ENHANCED RECOVERY AFTER SURGERY CONTROVERSY SYMPOSIUM UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

Thifheli Luvhengo Patients Advocacy Subcommittee Association of Surgeons of South Africa

LAYOUT

oIntroduction.

- •What is enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS).
- Principles of ERAS.
- Examples of successes of ERAS.
- How to implement ERAS.
- •Take home message.

COMMON CHALLENGES

- Escalating health care costs.
- Shortage of beds especially ICU.
- Waiting time for operation.
- Pressure from medical schemes to discharge patients early.
- Rising levels of litigation.

ATTEMPTS TO ADDRESS THE CHALLENGES

- Day/ambulatory procedures.
- Modification of anaesthetic approach.
- Sub-specialization leading to high volume units.
- Minimal access surgery.

WEAKNESSES

- Fragmented attempts: anaesthetists, surgeons, nurses and physiotherapists.
- Often generic and limited to minor procedures.
- Superiority of MAS is sometimes exaggerated despite similar neuro-hormonal responses after some open procedures.
- And, in certain cases similar magnitude of stress and therefore inflammatory response (open versus laparoscopic cholecystectomy, groin hernia repair, appendicectomy, colectomy, etc.).
- Purported advantages of MAS due to maintenance of rigid surgical culture after open surgery.

AMAZING: LESS THAN 24 HOUR POST-OPERATIVE STAY

- Bariatric procedure.
- Hip replacement.
- Cholecystectomy.
- Adrenelectomy.
- Groin hernia repair.
- Parathyroidectomy.
- Thyroidectomy.
- Kehlet and Wilmore, 2008. Annals of Surgery; 248 (2)

NO COLLATERAL DAMAGE

•Increased analgesic cost.

•Increased morbidity.

•Increased mortality.

•Increased costs.

•Increased re-admission rate.

• Delayed return to work.

THE ANSWER

• Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS)/Fast track surgery (FTS)

WHAT IS ERAS?

- Multidisciplinary.
- Multimodal.
- Procedure specific.
- Deliberate peri-operative preparation.
- Aim: to expedite normal post operative recovery.

MULTIMODAL STRATEGIES TO ENHANCE RECOVERY AFTER SURGERY

- Practiced since the 1990s and popularized in the 21st century by Henrik Kehlet for non-cardiac elective surgery.
- Summative practices aimed at reducing stress and therefore SIRS associated with elective operations to enable:
- Less pain.
- > Early post operative recovery.
- Reduction of morbidity and mortality.
- > Shortened hospital stay and early return to normal activities.

• Without associated increase in re-admission rate.

THE ROLE PLAYERS

- Patients.
- Anaesthetists.
- Surgeons.
- Trained/dedicated nurses.
- Physiotherapists.
- Hospital managers and administrators.

THE ANAESTHETIST'S ROLE

• A perioperative care physician (White et al, 2007. Anesthesia and Analgesia 104: 1380-1396) who decides.

- Preoperative medication.
- Choice of anaesthetic drugs.
- Prophylactic drugs (e.g. post operative nausea).
- ♦ Adjuvant drugs to enhance recovery.
- Post operative pain, nausea and vomiting management.

THE SURGEON'S ROLE

- To become a team player.
- Selection of appropriate patients.
- Selection of appropriate surgical option.
- Selection of appropriate incision.
- Adjust care according to available scientific evidence.

THREE PHASES

• Pre-operative.

• Intra-operative.

• Post-operative.

Fig. 1. Main perioperative components typically included in ERAS programs. Adapted from Varadhan et al. [6].



POSTOPERATIVE

Dorcaratto et al, 2013. Digestive Surgery 30: 70-78

• An ERAS patient will refuse to go to ICU and ask to go home immediately following an operation.



Fig. 2. Factors that contribute to delayed or accelerated recovery after elective operations. nutritional therapy has no major benefit except in depleted patients.

Postoperative hypoxemia

Postoperative hypoxemia may occur in the early recovery period as a consequence of anesthesia-related effects, and as a late event during the days when the patient is receiving less acute care [105]. The late postoperative hypoxemia may occur as a persistent phenomenon or as episodic desaturations, usually occurring at night [106]

The constant hypoxemic response is closely related to the conventional impairment in pulmonary function and supine position [107] while episodic desaturations are predominantly related to postoperative sleep disturbances with rebound of REM-sleep during the second to fourth postoperative night [105,106]. Late postoperative hypoxemia has been identified as an important contributing factor to post-

Kehlet et al, 2002. The American Journal of Surgery 183: 630-641

				0.1		
Reference	Year	Surgery	n FT/CC	Major findings	No. of FT items	Jad sco
Anderson et al. [33]	2003	Hemicolectomy	14/11	PHS↓ Ileus↓ Pain↓ Fatigue↓	12	Ш
Delaney et al. [34]	2003	Intestinal and rectal resection	31/33	THS↓	5	Π
Gatt et al. [35]	2005	Colorectal resection	19/20	PHS↓ Ileus↓	11	III
Khoo et al. [36]	2007	Colorectal resection	35/35	PHS↓ THS↓	10	III
Ionescu et al. [38]	2009	Colorectal resection	48/48	PHS↓ Ileus↓	10	III
Muller et al. [39]	2009	Colonic resection	76/75	PHS↓ Complication rate↓	12	III
Serclova et al. [40]	2009	Colorectal resection	51/52	PHS↓ Complication rate↓ Ileus↓ Pain↓	8	III
Wang et al. [41]	2011	Colorectal resection	106/104	PHS↓ Complication rate↓ Ileus↓	12	Π
Vlug et al. [20]	2011	Colonic resection	Lap-FT 100	PHS↓ THS↓ in lap-FT	16	V
			Open-FT 93			
			Lap-standard 109			
			Open-standard 98			
Kuzma et al. [37]	2008	Appendectomy	32/25	PHS↓	7	III
Petersen et al. [3]	2006	Hip replacement	27/30	PHS↓	5	III
Larsen et al. [44]	2008	Hip and knee replacement	45/42	PHS↓ QoL↑	9	III
Keulemans et al. [49]	1998	Lap cholecystectomy	40/40	PHS↓ Costs↓	7	Ш
Johansson et al. [50]	2006	Lap cholecystectomy	52/48	PHS↓ Costs↓	7	Ш
Recart et al. [60]	2005	Lap nephrectomy	13/12	PHS↓ Pain↓	6	III
Gralla et al. [59]	2007	Lap prostatectomy	25/25	PHS↓ Complication rate↓	10	Ι
Liu et al. [64]	2010	Gastric resection	33/30	PHS↓ Ileus↓ IL-6↓ Glucose↓ Loss of body composition↓	10	Ш
Wang et al. [65]	2010	Gastric resection	45/47	PHS \downarrow Costs \downarrow Ileus \downarrow Pain \downarrow QoL \uparrow TNF- α , IL-6, and CRP \downarrow	11	II
Muehling et al. [74]	2008	Lung resection	30/28	Pulmonary complications↓	8	Ш

 Table 2 Characteristics of randomized controlled trials comparing fast-track surgery with conventional care

Procedure Postoperative LOS Comments Groin hemia repair 1.5-6 h Large consecutive series (n = 1000–3000) using local infiltration at Same day discharge >80% Large consecutive series and randomized trials. Further improvement antiemetics/multimodal nonopioid analgesia ^{136–140} kariatric surgery -80%, <23 h n = 2000 consecutive laparoscopic Roux-Y gastric bypass ¹⁴¹ ; gastri Documentation of benefits on recovery of all organ functions and re from multi-center series and randomized trials. Only minor differ open and laparoscopic fast-track surgery ^{66–102,143–140} Complex colorectal procedures 3-5 d Single-institution series, laparoscopic ^{105–157} Ceffux surgery 98%, <23 h Large (n = 557) consecutive, single-institution series, laparoscopy ¹⁵ telfux surgery 98%, <23 h Large consecutive series ^{105–157} telfux surgery 98%, <23 h Large (n = 557) consecutive, single-institution series, laparoscopy ^{15–157} telfux surgery -8 d Small single-institution series ^{163–151} tadical prostatectomy -75%, 1 d Large consecutive series ^{163–151} telprectomy (donor) 1-2 d Shortest (23 h) with laparoscopic approach, 2 d with open surgery ¹¹ depirectomy (other) 2-4 d Consecutive series ^{173–177} depirectomy (other) 2-4 d <th colspan="5">ABLE 1. Results From Selected Fast-Track Surgical Programs</th>	ABLE 1. Results From Selected Fast-Track Surgical Programs				
Aroin hemia repair1.5-6 hLarge consecutive series $(n = 1000-3000)$ using local infiltration ar holecystectomySame day discharge >80%Large consecutive series and randomized trials. Further improvement antiemetics/multimodal nonopioid analgesiaSame day discharge >80%n = 2000 consecutive laparoscopic Roux-Y gastric bypassSame day discharge >80%n = 2000 consecutive laparoscopic Roux-Y gastric bypassSolonic resection2-4 dDocumentation of benefits on recovery of all organ functions and re from multi-center series and randomized trials. Only minor differ open and laparoscopic fast-track surgeryComplex colorectal procedures3-5 dSingle-institution series, laparoscopic and open approach 14-4 dComplex colorectal procedures3-5 dSingle-institution series, open, and thoracoscopic isophageal resectionLarge (n = 557) consecutive, single-institution series, laparoscopy1Small single-institution series, laparoscopy1Sophageal resection7-8 dSmall single-institution seriesancreatic resection7 dConsecutive series before vs. after pathway, cost reduction142 Large consecutive series hole vs. after pathway, cost reduction142 Large consecutive series, shortest with laparoscopic approach.dephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive series, revised criteria for intensive care stay, fluid-rest Laparoscopic approach, small, single-institution series.dephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive series.dephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive series.dateralectomy-3 dConsecutive series.dateralectomy<1 d in 80%Laparoscopic approach, small	rocedure	Postoperative LOS	Comments		
The lecystectomy Same day discharge >80% Large consecutive series and randomized trials. Further improvement antiemetics/multimodal nonopioid analgesia ¹¹⁶⁻¹⁴⁰ kariatric surgery -80%, <23 h	roin hemia repair	1.5-6 h	Large consecutive series (n = 1000-3000) using local infiltration anesthesia ^{134,135}		
kariatric surgery -80%, <23 h	holecystectomy	Same day discharge >80%	Large consecutive series and randomized trials. Further improvement with antiemetics/multimodal nonopioid analgesia ^{136–140}		
Colonic resection 2-4 d Documentation of benefits on recovery of all organ functions and refrom multi-center series and randomized trials. Only minor differ open and laparoscopic fast-track surgery ^{66-102,143-148} Complex colorectal procedures 3-5 d Single-institution series, laparoscopic and open approach ¹⁴⁰⁻¹³⁴ Sumonary resection 1-4 d Single-institution series, laparoscopic and open approach ¹⁴⁰⁻¹³⁴ Reflux surgery 98%, <23 h	ariatric surgery	80%, <23 h	n = 2000 consecutive laparoscopic Roux-Y gastric bypass ¹⁴¹ ; gastric banding ¹⁴²		
Complex colorectal procedures3-5 dSingle-institution series, laparoscopic and open approach140-134Autmonary resection1-4 dSingle-institution series, open, and thoracoscopic155-137beflux surgery98%, <23 h	olonic resection	2-4 d	Documentation of benefits on recovery of all organ functions and reduced morbidity from multi-center series and randomized trials. Only minor differences between open and laparoscopic fast-track surgery ^{96-102,143-148}		
hulmonary resection1-4 dSingle-institution series, open, and thoracoscopic 133-137teflux surgery98%, <23 h	omplex colorectal procedures	3-5 d	Single-institution series, laparoscopic and open approach ¹⁴⁰⁻¹⁵⁴		
Reflux surgery98%, <23 hLarge (n = 557) consecutive, single-institution series, laparoscopy1isophageal resection7-8 dSmall single-institution series1ancreatic resection7 dConsecutive series before vs. after pathway, cost reduction142tadical prostatectomy-75%, 1 dLarge consecutive series163kephrectomy (donor)1-2 dShortest (23 h) with laparoscopic approach, 2 d with open surgery16kephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive patient series, shortest with laparoscopic approach166-16kdrenalectomy<1 d in 80%	ulmonary resection	1-4 d	Single-institution series, open, and thoracoscopic ¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁵⁷		
isophageal resection7–8 dSmall single-institution series $^{150-161}$ hancreatic resection7 dConsecutive series before vs. after pathway, cost reduction 162 hancreatic resection7 dLarge consecutive series 163 hephrectomy (donor)1–2 dShortest (23 h) with laparoscopic approach, 2 d with open surgery 10 hephrectomy (other)2–4 dConsecutive patient series, shortest with laparoscopic approach $^{164-10}$ hephrectomy<1 d in 80%	eflux surgery	98%, <23 h	Large (n = 557) consecutive, single-institution series, laparoscopy ¹⁵⁸		
Ancreatic resection7 dConsecutive series before vs. after pathway, cost reduction 142Aadical prostatectomy -75% , 1 dLarge consecutive series 163Alephrectomy (donor)1-2 dShortest (23 h) with laparoscopic approach, 2 d with open surgery 11Alephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive patient series, shortest with laparoscopic approach 166-16Adrenalectomy<1 d in 80%	sophageal resection	7-8 d	Small single-institution series ^{150–161}		
tadical prostatectomy -75% , 1 dLarge consecutive series163lephrectomy (donor)1-2 dShortest (23 h) with laparoscopic approach, 2 d with open surgery16lephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive patient series, shortest with laparoscopic approach166-16ledrenalectomy<1 d in 80%	ancreatic resection	7 d	Consecutive series before vs. after pathway, cost reduction ¹⁶²		
dephrectomy (donor)1-2 dShortest (23 h) with laparoscopic approach, 2 d with open surgery11dephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive patient series, shortest with laparoscopic approach166-16derenalectomy<1 d in 80%	adical prostatectomy	75%, 1 d	Large consecutive series ¹⁶³		
dephrectomy (other)2-4 dConsecutive patient series, shortest with laparoscopic approachidrenalectomy<1 d in 80%	lephrectomy (donor)	1-2 d	Shortest (23 h) with laparoscopic approach, 2 d with open surgery ^{164,165}		
Adrenalectomy<1 d in 80%Laparoscopic approach, small, single-institution series 160,170 Abdominal aortic aneurysmectomy-3 dConsecutive series, revised criteria for intensive care stay, fluid-restMastectomy<1 d in 90%	lephrectomy (other)	2-4 d	Consecutive patient series, shortest with laparoscopic approach ¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁶⁸		
Abdominal aortic aneurysmectomy -3 d Consecutive series, revised criteria for intensive care stay, fluid-rest Mastectomy <1 d in 90%	drenalectomy	<1 d in 80%	Laparoscopic approach, small, single-institution series ^{169,170}		
Mastectomy <1 d in 90%	bdominal aortic aneurysmectomy	3 d	Consecutive series, revised criteria for intensive care stay, fluid-restriction 171-134		
tarathyroid and thyroid surgery 80%–90% ambulatory Single-institution consecutive series ^{175–180} lip, knee, and elbow arthroplasty ≤1 d Small, single-institution series with or without minimal access oper 3-4 d Well-designed pathways, cost-reduction ^{185,186} burrier cancer surgery 5 d Single institution consecutive series ¹⁸⁷	fastectomy	<1 d in 90%	Large consecutive series ^{175–177}		
lip, knee, and elbow arthroplasty ≤1 d Small, single-institution series with or without minimal access open -3-4 d Well-designed pathways, cost-reduction ^{185,186} burrier cancer surpers	anathyroid and thyroid surgery	80%-90% ambulatory	Single-institution consecutive series ^{178–180}		
-3-4 d Well-designed pathways, cost-reduction ^{185,186}	lip, knee, and elbow arthroplasty	≤ld	Small, single-institution series with or without minimal access operation ¹⁸¹⁻¹⁸⁴		
burian cancer sumary 5 d Single institution, consecutive series ¹⁸⁷		3-4 d	Well-designed pathways, cost-reduction 185,186		
Status cancer surgery 5 a Single-instation, consecutive series	warian cancer surgery	5 d	Single-institution, consecutive series ¹⁸⁷		

m. Coloritoral Frenk Tenada Countral D **III** Iter Frank A 175 B 177

Kehlet et al. 2002. The American Journal of Surgery

SUGGESTION ON INITIATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ERAS PROGRAM

Planning

- Develop interest.
- Information gathering.
- Organize a team.
- Training.
- Writing of specific protocols and care pathways/plans.

Implementation

- Initiate procedure specific program.
- Patient evaluation, optimization and education.
- Stress reducing perioperative care.
- Nutrition, physiotherapy, etc.
- **Discharge (instruction)** and follow-up.
- Evaluation of the program.

Kehlet et al,2008. Annals of Surgery 248: 189-198

SUMMARY

• ERAS is feasible.

• Please lets embrace ERAS.

• Lots of MMeds could be based on randomized trials on ERAS.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dorcaratto D, Grande L, Pera M. Enhanced recovery in gastrointestinal surgery: upper gastrointestinal surgery. Digestive Surgery 2013; 30: 70-78.
- 2. White PF, Kehlet H, Neal JM, et al. The role of the anesthesiologist in fast-track surgery: from multimodal analgesia to perioperative medical care. Anaesthesia and Analgesia 2007; 104: 1380-1396.
- 3. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Multimodal strategies to improve surgical outcome. The American Journal of Surgery 2002; 183: 630-641.
- 4. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Evidence-based surgical care and the evolution of fast-track surgery. Annals of Surgery 2008; 248: 189-198.
- 5. Feng F, Ji G, Li JP, et al. Fast-track surgery could improve postoperative recovery in radical total gastrectomy patients. World Journal of Gastroenterology 2013; 19: 3642-3648

REFERENCES

- 1. Feng F, Ji G, Li JP, et al. Fast-track surgery could improve postoperative recovery in radical total gastrectomy patients. World Journal of Gastroenterology 2013; 19: 3642-3648.
- 2. Rahbari NN, Zimmermann JB, Schmidt T, et al. Meta-analysis of standard, restrictive and supplemental fluid administration in colorectal surgery. British Journal of Surgery 2009; 96: 331-341
- 3. Murphy MA, Richards T, Atkinson C, et al. Fast track open aortic surgery: reduced post operative stay with a goal directed pathway. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery 2007; 34: 274-278.

REFERENCES

- a. Dorcaratto D, Grande L, Pera M. Enhanced recovery in gastrointestinal surgery: upper gastrointestinal surgery. Digestive Surgery 2013; 30: 70-78.
- b. White PF, Kehlet H, Neal JM, et al. The role of the anesthesiologist in fast-track surgery: from multimodal analgesia to perioperative medical care. Anaesthesia and Analgesia 2007; 104: 1380-1396.
- c. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Multimodal strategies to improve surgical outcome. The American Journal of Surgery 2002; 183: 630-641.
- d. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Evidence-based surgical care and the evolution of fast-track surgery. Annals of Surgery 2008; 248: 189-198.

• Thank you