

**Wat is [die] reg?**

**Vraag:       Wat is diè reg?  
                  Wat is reg?**

---

**THE POLITICAL ANARCHISTS ASSOCIATION v THE OLD LEGAL SYSTEM 2112**

**(3) All NA (CC)**

**Citation:       2112 (3) All NA (CC)**  
**Case no:       666/4444**  
**Court:         Constitutional Court of South Africa**  
**Judge:         Spineless CJ**  
**Heard:         July 30, 2112**  
**Judgment:     July 30, 2112**  
**Counsel:       H Aitler SCA (with him M Rugabe, A Imin, H Sussein, O  
                  Lin Baden, Jim Kong-il and D Advocate) for the applicants.  
                  L Justice of the Previous Age Constitution (with her M  
                  Nandela, G Mhandi, T Mheresa, P Jaul II, and C Whurchill)  
                  for the respondents.**

**SPINELESS CJ**

The issue before this court echoes a disturbing sense of arrogance. Not only do the respondents test the temperament of this court, but they dare challenge the very principles upon which this court system was built. In addition, the respondents seem to believe that the successional constitutions, abolished and replaced in 2094, of the 1996 Constitution still have relevance of a legal or any other nature.

In order to clarify the nature of the dispute before this Court, I offer a brief summary of the events leading to this day. In May 2110 the applicants lodged a complaint with the Corruptions Office after the respondents attempted to derail a campaign by the applicants, who acted fully within their rights, to promote anarchy. The High Court dismissed the applicants' case on the grounds that the respondents "acted within the law". Refusing to accept this decision the applicants

appealed to the Supreme Court of Appeal to have the High Court judgment set aside. Fearing that the SCA would not rule in favour of the respondents, this Court decided to exercise its' rights of intervention.

The respondents seem to function under the false pretense that the law of this New Age gives reason to "moral values" and "that which is regarded as fair and just by reasonable communities". I therefore wish to educate the respondents for purposes of future reference. The law is, to its' core, but an instrument of oppression, created and manipulated by a minority of political anarchists to undermine the reasonable values of a fragile society for personal gain and/or power. Without fear, regard or conscientiousness. That is the law. Whether or not said law is viewed as "right" is irrelevant, it remains the law. Furthermore, it should be stated that this outdated concept of "justice", used so vigorously by the respondents, have long since become irrelevant in the legal sphere.

In order to clarify this judgment for future precedents, I will provide an absolute outline of the basic principles of the law as it is to be applied henceforth:

1. The law is whatever the Political Anarchists Association says it is.
2. Although the Constitution of The New Age of South Africa of 2096 is regarded as sovereign in all possible aspects, it is still subordinate to the Political Anarchists Association.
3. No court, judge or any other citizen of this country, or any other person within the borders of this country may challenge the wishes of a member of or of the Political Anarchists Association.
4. As per instruction of the Political Anarchists Association I hereby reinstitute the death penalty (which was abolished in 1995 in the case of S v Makwanyane). Said penalty is to be utilised, with the discretion of the courts, against any and all citizens, visitors, refugees or any other person within the borders of The New Age of South Africa who presently or in future fails to comply with principles 1, 2 and 3 as set out above.

I therefore grant the applicants order to dismiss the respondents campaign. Furthermore the Court orders that all respondents, their attorneys, affiliates of the latter, as well as the families of the respondent parties mentioned be remanded into custody to face execution for failure to adhere to rules 1, 2 and 3 as set out above. The question that said rules have just been brought into being is, in its essence, irrelevant. Furthermore, The Old Legal System, and all it comprises of, is to be outlawed and banned from public conversation within the borders of this country upon pain of death.

Regarding costs, the Court rules that any and all costs incurred by the applicants during the course of their legal battle be covered by the judge of the High Court as well as the judge of the Supreme Court of Appeal who handed, or attempted to hand down their despicable judgments.

In conclusion, I wish to add my heartfelt apology to the applicants for the failure of the court a quo to adhere to their lawful preferences. Furthermore, this Court wishes to express its gratitude to the Political Anarchists Association for their contribution and level of commitment to promote anarchy and limit freedom.

---

**Dit is diè reg...**

---

**Is dit reg???**